EXHIBIT 5



Ras Al Khaimah Investment Authority v Farhad Azima

Day 8

January 31, 2020

Opus 2 International - Official Court Reporters

Phone: +44 (0)20 3008 5900

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: https://www.opus2.com

Day 8

```
1
                                                                         1
                                          Friday, 31 January 2020
                                                                                 Mr Buchanan and Mr Bustami, haven't you?
 2
     (10.30 am)
                                                                         2
                                                                             A. That's correct, my Lord.
 3
                                                                         3
                     MR AMIR HANDJANI (continued)
                                                                              Q. And can his Lordship take it that you yourself do not
 4
                                                                         4
               Cross-examination by MR LORD (continued)
                                                                                 know what the Ruler's reasons were in the event for
 5
     MR LORD: May it please your Lordship, Mr Handjani, please
                                                                         5
                                                                                 approving the entry into by RAKIA of the settlement
 6
         could you be shown yesterday's transcript , \mbox{ \{Day 7/212:1\}}.
                                                                         6
                                                                                 agreement?
 7
                                                                         7
     A. I'm just waiting for it to come up.
                                                                                 That's not correct, my Lord. I spoke to the Ruler about
                                                                         8
 8
     Q. That's all right.
                                                                                 the settlement agreement when it was almost finalised.
                                                                         9
 9
     A. Yes, I have it up, my Lord.
                                                                                 He asked my views on it, and I said I think it's full,
10
                                                                        10
     Q. Thank you Mr Handjani. Could you see the question that
                                                                                 I think it's fair, and at the time I thought that
11
         I asked at line 10 and your answer, please, at line 14.
                                                                        11
                                                                                 Mr Buchanan and Mr Azima had developed a pretty good
12
                                                                        12
          (Pause)
                                                                                 working relationship. My understanding at that time was
13
                                                                        13
     A. I do, my Lord.
                                                                                 that Mr Azima was also assisting in negotiations with
14
     Q. So I asked you whether:
                                                                        14
                                                                                 Mr Massaad. I saw no reason, and didn't have the
15
               "... apart from a sort of general sort of advocacy
                                                                        15
                                                                                  details, other than perhaps a phone call from Mr Azima
16
                                                                        16
          for a settlement with Azima, you weren't involved in any
                                                                                 from time to time or information from Mr Buchanan from
                                                                        17
17
          of the analysis on the RAKIA side of things as to
                                                                                 time to time, and I thought that was a good path
18
                                                                        18
         whether that was a good idea and, if so, on what terms?"
19
                                                                        19
              You said:
                                                                              Q. But you didn't discuss, did you, what the wider
20
              "That's a fair statement ..."
                                                                        20
                                                                                  objectives were?
         "... counsel", yes, I did.
21
                                                                        21
                                                                             A. I actually did discuss with the Ruler, my Lord, what the
22
     Q. "counsel", yes. It's right, isn't it, that analysis on
                                                                        22
                                                                                 wider objectives were at the time. The wider objectives
2.3
          RAKIA's side of the fence as to HeavyLift's claims was
                                                                        23
                                                                                  were to settle the matter with Mr Azima. He seemed
24
                                                                        24
          effectively left to the Ruler, Mr Buchanan and possibly
                                                                                  enthusiastic to do so, and also Mr Azima was helping
25
         Mr Gerrard?
                                                                        25
                                                                                 with the settlement negotiations with Dr Massaad, and my
                                1
                                                                         1
 1
     A. My Lord, my understanding at the time was it was left to
                                                                                 understanding was that was the wider objective, was to
 2.
         Mr Buchanan who was in direct negotiation with Mr Azima,
                                                                         2
                                                                                  settle the matters with Mr Azima and settle the matters
 3
          and of course Mr Buchanan, my Lord, is not a lawyer and
                                                                         3
                                                                                 with Dr Massaad.
 4
                                                                         4
         I believe outside counsel was provided by Dechert
                                                                             Q. So at least one of the wider objectives of entering into
                                                                         5
  5
          through Mr Gerrard.
                                                                                  the settlement agreement with Mr Azima was to continue
                                                                         6
 6
                                                                                 with his co-operation or assistance in relation to the
     Q. If we go to your second witness statement, please, at
 7
                                                                         7
          \{D/16/2\} and to paragraph 4.
                                                                                  negotiations with Dr Massaad?
 8
                                                                         8
                                                                             A. I can't say if that was a key objective, but that was
     A. Yes, it's up now. I have it, my Lord.
 9
                                                                         9
     Q. Where you see you say this in paragraph 4:
                                                                                 taking place, I was aware that was taking place,
10
                                                                        10
              "Mr Azima asserts that I played a key role (with
                                                                                 Mr Azima was being helpful, and I think both sides were
11
         others) in the negotiation of the settlement agreement
                                                                        11
                                                                                  treating each other with congeniality and fairness.
12
                                                                        12
          that he entered into with RAKIA in March 2016 (the
                                                                              Q. And what did you understand the purpose behind the
13
          'Settlement Agreement'). That is not correct. Whilst
                                                                        13
                                                                                 good faith clause in the agreement, if in fact you knew
14
          I was aware of the negotiations taking place with
                                                                        14
                                                                                  about it?
15
         Mr Azima and Mr Azima would occasionally contact me to
                                                                        15
                                                                             A. I did not know about the good faith clause, my Lord.
16
                                                                        16
         ask if I could assist in moving the negotiations
                                                                                  I never read the settlement agreement, my Lord, until in
17
                                                                        17
         forward, I played no role in the negotiation of the
                                                                                 court. I knew the quantum of the settlement agreement,
18
         Settlement Agreement."
                                                                        18
                                                                                 my Lord.
19
                                                                        19
              Can his Lordship take it that that is an accurate
                                                                             Q. So you can't give any evidence to his Lordship as to
20
          reflection of your involvement in the settlement
                                                                        20
                                                                                 what lay behind, on RAKIA's side of the fence, seeking
                                                                        21
2.1
          agreement?
                                                                                 a good faith clause from Mr Azima in that contract?
22
                                                                        22
     A. I believe so, my Lord.
                                                                             A. I cannot, my Lord.
23
     Q. You've seen, haven't you, Mr Handjani, I think, that
                                                                        23
                                                                              Q.
                                                                                 Thank you.
24
          the Ruler's approval was sought in February 2016 to
                                                                        24
                                                                                 As a lawyer myself, my Lord --
25
          enter into this settlement agreement with Mr Azima by
                                                                        25
                                                                                 Don't speculate.  If you don't know about what happened,
```

2

- $1 \hspace{1cm} \text{then just deal -- don't speculate; just deal with what} \\$
- 2 you knew about this particular contract.
- 3 A. Well, counsel, I was just going to say that as
- 4 a commercial lawyer, it 's pretty normal to have
- 5 good faith clauses in contracts.
- 6 Q. Did you -- but you had no involvement with what lay
- 7 behind RAKIA seeking the good faith clause in this
- 8 particular settlement agreement?
- 9 A. I do not, my Lord.
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr Handjani.
- Could I ask you, please, now, to consider some of
- 12 the evidence you gave yesterday about Mr Azima and
- HeavyLift's claims for compensation, please.
- 14 A. Of course
- 15~ Q. Would you go to {Day7/202:1} which is your evidence
- 16 yesterday Mr Handjani.
- 17 A. Yes, it's up.
- 18 Q. And could you please go to line -- if you go, please, to
- 19 line 14.
- 20 A. Yes.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And read on down to about line $\,$ 19, you were being asked
- by me -- or you were giving evidence as to the emergence
- of Mr Azima's claim for compensation, all right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You said this at line 14, Mr Handjani:

- The entire -- at this time, my Lord, the entire
- $2 \hspace{1cm} \text{thrust of what I believe was going on in } \hspace{-0.5cm} \text{my involvement} \\$
- 3 was to substantiate the validity of Mr Azima's monetary
- d claim. It came out of the sky. It came to me first.
- 5 I relayed that to the Ruler. In subsequent
- 6 conversations the quantum went down very quickly."
- 7 And so it runs on. Can you see that?
- 8 A. I can, my Lord. Would you like me to finish reading it?
- 9 Q. If you would like to, yes. (Pause)
- 10 A. Thank you, my Lord.
- $11\,$ Q. If you would like to read, perhaps, the -- right through
- 12 to the end of page {Day7/202:1}, and if you could please
- $13 \hspace{1cm} \text{go then to read down to line } 14 \hspace{1mm} \text{on page 203?}$
- 14 A. So I'm finished with 202?
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Yes, $\,$ and then, $\,$ Mr Handjani, if $\,$ you would be kind enough
- to read page 203 from line 1 to line 14 inclusive.
- $17 \quad A. \quad Yes. \quad \{Day7/203:1-14\}.$
- I have read it, my Lord.
- $19\,$ $\,$ Q. Have you reviewed the transcript of your evidence from
- 20 yesterday overnight?
- 21 A. I have not, my Lord.
- $22\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Having now had a chance to review what you said to
- 23 his Lordship on oath yesterday in these passages,
- 24 Mr Handjani, are there any aspects of those -- of that
- evidence of yours which you would like to revise?

1 A. I do not, my Lord.

6

- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. So your evidence is that the HeavyLift claim had come
- 3 out of the sky; is that right?
- $4\,$ $\,$ A. My Lord, I didn't say the HeavyLift claim. I said he
- 5 didn't mention to me in that phone call, the first phone
 - call we had what -- there's three claims from what
- 7 I understand now, which I didn't understand at the time.
- 8 There's a HeavyLift claim, there's a training academy
- 9 claim, there's a simulator in one of those two, I'm not
- sure which one that is, and then there's a Boeing claim.
- 11 When he discussed -- when Mr Azima called me in the
- spring of 2015, he didn't discuss those claims
- individually or collectively . He discussed the quantum
- $14 \hspace{1cm} \text{that he believed that was owed to him, my Lord, and that} \\$
- 15 was the quantum.
- 16 Q. So when, in {Day7/202:16-17}, you said of Mr Azima's
- monetary claim "it came out of the sky", you're there,
- aren't you, Mr Handjani, giving evidence on oath in
- relation to Mr Azima's monetary claim?
- $2\,0\,$ A. As I heard it and put to me in that conversation that
- I had with Mr Azima, that's correct.
- Q. And "out of the sky", you mean out of the blue,
- don't you, really, in other words it came from nowhere;
- that's what you're trying to convey there, Mr Handjani,
- 25 isn't it?

7

- 1 A. That is a fair interpretation.
- Q. Could you please be shown {H7/79/1}, Mr Handjani.
- 3 A. Yes, it's up, my Lord.
- 4 Q. Have you seen this document before, Mr Handjani?
- 5 A. I just saw it in court for the first time, my Lord, last
- 6 week or this week, I can't recall.
- 7 Q. His Lordship can take it you had seen this document
- 8 before you gave the evidence you gave yesterday to
- 9 his Lordship?
- 10 A. I did, yes.
- $11\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did you when you heard reference to this document, did
- 12 you consider the implications of this document?
- 13 A. I think we want to be careful, counsel. You asked me at
- the time when I got the phone call in the spring of 2015
- when I said that came out of the sky, I had not read
- 16 this document or knew anything about Mr Azima's claim in
- 17 the spring of 2015.
- If you're asking me today about where those numbers came from, yes, I've seen this in court. But that's
- 20 only been the last week, counsel.
- Q. So should his Lordship understand when you said "it came
 out of the sky", ie Mr Azima's monetary claim, what you
- should really have said, or perhaps what you meant to
- say, was, "As far as I, Mr Handjani knew of things, this

8

was the first time I knew of Mr Azima's claim for

- 1 compensation". Is that what you meant?
- 2 A. I think we're quibbling over semantics, counsel.
- 3 I stand behind my statement, my Lord. I was very clear.
- 4 If my Lord would like me to further elucidate for him,
- 5 I would be happy to.
- 6 JUDGE LENON: Well, I think you can answer that question.
- 7 A. It's correct, as far as I was aware, at the time.
- 8 MR LORD: Because you can see there's a difference between
- 9 saying to his Lordship, "Mr Azima's claim came out of
- 10 the sky as far as anybody at RAKIA was concerned" --
- 11 A. I was speaking for myself, counsel, not anyone from
- 12 RAKIA, I'm speaking for myself.
- 13 Q. So --
- 14 A. Mr Azima called me in my personal capacity, not as an
- 15 executive of RAKIA. I have never been an executive of
- 16 RAKIA, my Lord.
- 17 Q. So his Lordship can take it, can he, Mr Handjani, that
- 18 before March 2015, you had no awareness at all of any
- 19 claims by Mr Azima for any compensation at all?
- 20 A. None that I can recall, my Lord.
- 21 Q. If you look at {H7/79/1}, Mr Handjani, you can see that
- 22 it's -- there's a letter on HeavyLift letterhead, can
- 23 you see that?
- 24 A. I can, my Lord.
- 25 Q. Dated 2 September 2013. And it's addressed to

- 1 Jim Stewart who was at that time CEO of RAKIA. Can you
- 2 see that?
- 3 A. I can, my Lord.
- 4 Q. And in this claim, in this letter, sorry, entitled
- 5 "HeavyLift Windup", HeavyLift made a claim for
- 6 compensation in relation to the training academy at RAK
- 7 Airport. Can you see that?
- 8 A. I can, my Lord.
- 9 Q. And if you -- you can see what was said in this letter 10 by HeavyLift:
- 11 "A substantial investment was undertaken by
- 12 HeavyLift on behalf of the joint venture. That
- 13 investment includes, among other things ..."
- 14 Can you see number 1:
- 15 "Cash, training materials, and equipment of
- 16 approximately \$2,260,000 USD."
- 17 Can you see that?
- 18 A. I can, my Lord.
- 19 Q. Then 2:
- 20 "Staff and management time and related costs ..."
- 21 Can you see that?
- A. I can, my Lord. 22
- 23 Q. Then:
- 24 "RAK Airways was to provide to the joint venture,

25 among other things ..."

- 1 And there's reference to various property-related
- 2 things, can you see that?
- 3 A. I can, my Lord.
- 4 Q. If you go over the page, please, Mr Handjani, to
- 5 {H7/79/2}, you can see in the third paragraph it says

6

9

- 7 "We estimate the value of the land and the building
- 8 to be approximately US\$5 million, of which HeavyLift
 - would be entitled to its 50% share on dissolution."
- 10 Can you see that?
- 11 A. I can, my Lord.
- 12 It's right, isn't it, that on a fair reading of this
- 13 letter, HeavyLift was asking for, on the face of it,
- 14 US\$2.26 million for its input and half of the
- 15 \$5 million, so altogether roughly US\$4.75 million?
- 16 A. I have no basis to challenge you, counsel, on that.
- 17 Q. Thank you. It wasn't a claim, was it, Mr Handjani, on
- 18 its face for US\$8 million?
- 19 A. I am not giving testimony to the validity or the quantum
- 20 of the HeavyLift claim. My testimony was very clear,
- 21 counsel. When Mr Azima called me, the number he put to
- 22 me was \$8 million.
- 23 Q. Can I take up that last answer, please, Mr Handjani.
- 24 A. Please.
- 25 Would you be kind enough, please, to go to paragraph 13

- 1 of your first witness statement which you'll find at
- 2 {D/15/3}.
- 3 A. I have it up now.
- 4 Q. You say in that paragraph 13 that in around March 2015
- 5 when you got this call, that you describe, you were in
- 6 Iran at the time with your family.
- 7 A. I was, my Lord.
- 8 Q. And you say you got a phone call.
- 9 A. I did, my Lord. It was a pleasant call, wishing me
- 10 first a happy Persian New Year, and I wished that back
- 11 to him. I was a bit surprised that Mr Azima had my
- 12 number, and then he went on to discuss the matters which
- 13 I lay out in my witness statement.
- 14 Q. Then if you look at paragraph 14 of your witness
- 15 statement {D/15/3}, you claim that your recollection of
- 16 the call is that Mr Azima said he was owed \$8 million by
- 17 RAK.
- 18 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 19 Q. And you say in paragraph 14:
- 20 "I cannot recall on what basis he said he was owed
- 21 this money ..."
- 22 A. That's correct, my Lord.
- 23 Q. Has your recollection improved of these matters,
- 24 Mr Handjani, since you gave this written statement on
- 25 9 May 2019, would you say?

- $1\,$ A. It has not, my Lord. I stand by my witness statement
- $2 \hspace{1cm} \text{and my testimony yesterday, my Lord.} \\$
- 3 Q. So can his Lordship take it that you don't have any
- $4 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{recollection of the basis on which you say Mr Azima made} \\$
- 5 a claim for \$8 million in that call to you?
- 6 A. Counsel, at that time I did not.
- 7 Q. And his Lordship can take it, can't he, that your
- 8 recollection of those matters won't have improved in the
- $9 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{last} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{--} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{in} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{the intervening time since June} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{--} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{May last}$
- 10 year?
- 11 A. Well, I know more today than I did in March 2015,
- 12 $\,$ counsel. So there's -- I'm more informed as to the
- 13 numbers behind the quantum.
- 14 Q. I'm not asking you about your recollection improvement
- since March 2015, Mr Handjani, as you well know. I'm
- asking you about the position as between May 2019 when
- 17 you gave this witness statement, which was already over
- four years since the phone call --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- and today, Mr Handjani.
- 21 A. Yes, it's not that my recollection has improved. My
- 22 knowledge has improved, I think is the more precise
- 23 language.
- 24 Q. And how has that been improved, Mr Handjani?
- 25 A. Well, I've been sitting in court and I've been seeing

- 1 the evidence presented, counsel.
- Q. So you've been able to reconstruct events, have you, as
- 3 a result of sitting in court during the trial?
- 4 A. No, that's not a correct statement. It's not that
- 5 I have been able to reconstruct events. I've become
- $\,\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ more informed as to the nature of his claim since we've
- 7 come to court.
- 8 Q. But none of what you've heard in court would have given
- $9 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{you a better basis to recollect more of this telephone} \\$
- 10 call in March 2015, would it?
- 11 A. No, it would not, my Lord. I don't remember a phone
- $12\,$ call from -- the exact details of a phone call from five
- $13\,$ years ago. What I do remember was the headline number
- of 8 million. As I said, it was a very pleasant phone
- call, he wished me a Happy New Year, we've always had
- 16 a -- pleasant exchanges.
- 17 Q. Could you be shown {Day2/125:1}, please.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Which was evidence given by Mr Buchanan to his Lordship.
- 20 A. Yes.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And, Mr Handjani, if $\,$ you would please go to
- 22 {Day2/125:1}.
- 23 A. Yes, it's in front of me, counsel.
- 24 Q. Can you see at {Day2/125:7}, Mr Buchanan said this:
- 25 "I also subsequently understood that Mr Azima had

14

- 1 raised with Mr Handjani the claim for the \$8 million in
- 2 respect of the Boeing matter."
- 3 A. Yes, I see that.
- 4 Q. Then it runs on.
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. Can you see that?
- 7 A. Would you like me to read the page?
- 8 Q. Yes, if you don't mind, that would be very helpful,
- 9 thank you, Mr Handjani.
- 10 A. Yes, of course. (Pause) I have read it, counsel.
- 11 Q. So what Mr Buchanan was telling his Lordship in this
- part of Mr Buchanan's evidence was that as far as
- Mr Buchanan understood it, there were two prompts for
- the Ruler's instruction to target Mr Azima as recorded
- in those April emails which I took you to yesterday.
- One, according to Mr Buchanan, was an unwarranted claim
- for \$8 million by Mr Azima, and the other, according to
- Mr Buchanan, was the apparent involvement of Mr Azima in
- wrongdoing vis-a-vis Dr Massaad. Can you see that?
- 20 A. I see that's Mr Buchanan's testimony, yes.
- 21 Q. That's what Mr Buchanan was saying. Mr Buchanan in his
 - evidence suggests that the \$8 million claim was in
- relation to Boeing aircraft purchase, can you see that?
- 24 A. I can, my Lord.

22

25 Q. Do you have any knowledge of that, of the nature of the

- 1 \$8 million claim as you allege it?
- 2 A. I'd like you to please, counsel, be precise. As of now
- 3 today or then in 2015?
- 4 Q. Then.
- 5 A. No, I did not at the time, no.
- 6 Q. It's right, isn't it, Mr Handjani, that Mr Azima did not
- 7 make a claim for \$8 million for either the Boeing
- 8 aircraft or the training academy?
- 9 A. I'm sorry, you're asking me if I'm lying under oath?
- 10 Q. I'm suggesting to you that Mr Azima did not make a claim for \$8 million.
- 12 A. He called me, my Lord. He said he was owed this sum of
- money and he'd asked my assistance. I gave him my
- assistance by putting him in touch with Mr Buchanan, and
- that is the nature of my involvement at this time with
- 16 his claim.
- Now, whether it related to Boeing aircraft or the
- 18 training academy, my Lord, or all other dealings he has,
- I was not aware of at the time, nor did I care at the
- 20 time. It was not my job to substantiate the validity of
- 21 Mr Azima's claim.
- 22 Q. Mr Handjani, have you ever seen any document in which
- 23 Mr Azima or anybody acting for him makes a claim for
- 24 \$8 million?
- 25 A. I'm not aware, my Lord.

- $1\,$ Q. It's likely, isn't it, Mr Handjani, that if there were
- $2\,$ such a document, that would have been brought to your
- 3 attention for the purposes of this trial?
- 4 A. Not necessarily, my Lord.
- 5 Q. But you have not seen any such document, have you,
- 6 Mr Handjani?
- 7 A. None that I'm aware of, my Lord.
- 8 Q. So is it your evidence that Mr Azima made his claim for
- 9 \$8 million simply orally; is that your evidence?
- 10 A. That is correct, my Lord, and it went down quite quickly
- from 8 to 5 to 3, and I believe that was because of the
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{negotiation that was taking place between Mr Buchanan} \\$
- and Mr Azima, and, as I said, I believe that negotiation
- was congenial and they had developed a good rapport with
- each other; and from time to time, my Lord, Mr Azima
- 16 would call me and I would take his call, and from time
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{to time I would check in to see how that negotiation was} \\$
- going, and I was in favour of a commercial settlement
- 19 with Mr Azima.
- $20\,$ Q. It's right, isn't it, Mr Handjani, that what Mr Azima in
- fact led you to understand in that telephone call was
- $22\,$ that Mr Azima was claiming he'd actually saved the Ruler
- 23 **\$8** million?
- 24 A. That's not my recollection, my Lord.
- 25 Q. Could you go, please, to {Day7/200:1}. And you'll see

- 1 there --
- $2\,$ A. I'm still waiting for it. (Pause) $\,$ It's up now. Where
- 3 would you like me to go to, counsel?
- 4 Q. Can you see that at page {Day7/200:1}, I was asking you
- $5\,$ some questions about the July $\,$ email $\,$ between Mr Buchanan $\,$
- 6 and you?
- 7 A. I can, my Lord.
- $8\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Where Mr Buchanan made reference to what Mr Bustami had
- 9 allegedly relayed from the Ruler, can you see that?
- 10 A. I can. Where would you like me to read to?
- $11\,$ Q. The relevant background or context is the instruction
- 12 from the Ruler to go after FA, all right?
- 13 A. If you just let me finish the ...
- 14 Q. That's all right. (Pause)
- 15 A. Yes, I can.
- 16 Q. Then, Mr Handjani, please could you be shown page
- 17 {Day7/203:1} of the transcript which I've already taken
- you to this morning, which you've kindly already read, I
- 19 think once.
- 20 A. Yes.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. Can you see, picking it up now at the top of the page,
- 22 at $\{Day7/203:3\}$, I was asking you:
- $23\,$ "So what do you understand by the Ruler wanting to

18

- 24 go after Mr Azima at this time? What was prompting
- 25 the Ruler to want to go after Mr Azima?"

- 1 Can you see that?
- 2 A. I can, my Lord.
- 3 Q. Then Mr Handjani you said this -- you see what you say
- 4 at {Day7/203:6}:
- 5 "I think he wanted to -- as I said, my Lord, he
- 6 wanted to understand if Mr Azima was connected to the
- $7\,$ $\,$ $\,$ frauds of Dr Massaad because he came to know the Ruler
- 8 through Dr Massaad, and it was around the same time that
- $9\,$ the frauds of Dr Massaad had come up and Mr Azima called
- $10\,$ and asked for \$8 million and said that, if this matter
- wasn't settled, that there would be blitzkrieg campaign
- 12 against Ras Al Khaimah. I think that would be
- 13 unsettling for anyone to hear, my Lord."
- 14 Can you see that?
- 15 A. I can, my Lord.
- 16 Q. So your evidence yesterday to his Lordship was that, as
- I understand it, around this time Mr Azima --
- in July 2015, Mr Azima had made a claim for \$8 million.
- That was your evidence here, wasn't it?
- 20 A. I don't know if it was -- counsel, I'd like to be
- 21 precise, please. I don't know if it was July or April
- 22 or May. The first time he raised it with me was March
- 23 of 2015, was the first time that quantum came to mind.
- When it was raised again, what happened after that is
- a different matter, but if you're asking me when was the

19

- first time I heard that quantum, it was March of 2015.
- 2 Q. So as far as you were concerned, that was the first time
- 3 that you heard the figure \$8 million?
- 4 A. That is my testimony under oath, my Lord.
- 5 Q. Can we go, please, to {H7/273/1}, please. Can you keep
- 6 your answers there in mind, please, Mr Handjani.
- 7 {H7/273/1}, please.
- 8 A. This is the email between Mr Bustami and myself, cc'd
- 9 Mr Buchanan.
- 10 Q. That's the one.
- 11 A. Yes, I have it in front of me.
- $12\,$ Q. Just let's get the chronology very clear as you're now
- 13 attesting to, please, Mr Handjani.
- 14 A. Of course, counsel.
- 15 Q. You're saying to his Lordship that in March 2015, you
- learnt for the first time that Mr Azima was making
- a claim for \$8 million. That's your evidence, isn't it?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. You're giving evidence to his Lordship, or you gave
- evidence yesterday and you've confirmed it now again on
- 21 oath today, I think, that in -- that when the Ruler
- 22 in July 2015 instructed the going after of Mr Azima,
- 23 your evidence is that that could be attributed at least
- 25 A. I think, counsel, I want to be precise again. If you

in part to Mr Azima making a claim for \$8 million.

20

- $1 \hspace{1cm} \text{look at the previous -- our previous colloquy of} \\$
- 2 yesterday, I said that it related to Mr Azima coming
- 3 from -- Mr Azima's relationship with the Ruler, my Lord,
- 4 came from Dr Massaad. It was around this time that
- 5 Dr Massaad's alleged frauds were being uncovered, and
- 6 because Mr Azima claimed that he was owed a sum of
- 7 money, I believe his joint venture partner at the time
- 8 in Ras Al Khaimah was entities controlled by Dr Massaad,
- $9 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{entities that Dr Massaad had a fiduciary relationship} \\$
- 10 to, my Lord, that he was very sceptical of his claims.
- 11 Q. So what was the answer to my question?
- 12 A. Could you please repeat your question?
- 13 Q. In giving evidence to his Lordship yesterday, you said
- that when the Ruler in July 2015 instructed the going
- after of Mr Azima, you thought that could be attributed
- at least in part to Mr Azima making a claim for
- 17 \$8 million.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is that your understanding of what was --
- $2\,0\,$ A. I think I said partly. I gave a more fuller explanation
- 21 than your summary just now.
- 22 Q. Right. And this is a claim that you were first apprised
- 23 of in March 2015.
- 24 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- $25\,$ Q. And I think you think the telephone call was 21 March,

- don't you?
- $2\,$ $\,$ A. $\,$ My Lord, the $\,$ Persian New Year is March 21, the $\,$ first $\,$ day
- 3 of spring, so it was at or around that time. It's
- 4 customary for people who celebrate the Persian New Year
- 5 to call each other and wish each other a Happy New Year
- 6 at around that time.
- 7 Q. Yes, well, let's assume that you're right about that;
- 8 then if you go, please, to $\{H7/273/1\}$, can you see the
- 9 date, please, Mr Handjani?
- 10 A. I can, my Lord.
- $11\,$ Q. Can you read it out for the transcript, please,
- 12 Mr Handjani?
- 13 A. 4/4/2015, so it would be, I assume, April 4, 2015.
- $14\,$ Q. So by my calculation that would be two weeks after, on
- your evidence, Mr Azima first makes this claim for
- 16 \$8 million.
- 17 A. I think that's a fair assessment, my Lord.
- $18\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ If $\,$ we see what Mr Bustami says in this $\,$ email to $\,$ you and
- Mr Buchanan, only two weeks after your telephone call on
- 20 21 March with Mr Azima, he says this:
- $21\,$ $\,$ "I have had few discussions with boss about FA and
- $22\,$ he is adamant that we bring charges against him. He was
- $23\,$ very happy that you told him that FA is no longer asking

22

- 24 for the \$8 m."
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Now, you see, Mr Handjani, the documents here suggest
- 2 that certainly by 4 April 2015, any suggestion that
- 3 Mr Azima was asking for \$8 million had in fact -- it
- looks as if by that date, Mr Azima, whatever had
- 5 happened before, was no longer making such a claim. Do
- 6 you agree?
- 7 A. Yes, and I can tell you why, if you permit me.
- 8 Q. Go on, then.
- 9 A. Yes. After that first phone call, I came back to the
- Emirates, I had a subsequent phone call with Mr Azima,
- $11 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{and what I \ recall \ in \ that \ subsequent phone call \ with}$
- Mr Azima is that he somehow said -- and it was very, very casually -- that the claim, you know -- that's the
- number that he believes he is owed, but he would be
- happy taking less, and I relayed that to the Ruler.
- 16 Q. There's no reference in your witness evidence, is there,
- Mr Handjani, to any subsequent telephone call with
- 18 Mr Azima between 21 March and 4 April?
- $19 \quad A. \quad I \ think, \ my \ Lord, \ I \ say \ in \ my \ witness \ statement \ that$
- 20 Mr Azima and I had been in touch from time to time.
- 21 Q. What's the answer to my question, Mr Handjani? Do you
- 22 give any evidence in your witness statements that after
- the 21 March call with Mr Azima, where you allege he
- 24 asked for \$8 million, you had a further call with him
- where you and he discussed the same claim?

23

- 1 A. We didn't discuss the claim, we discussed the quantum.
- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. Right. Do you give any evidence of that in your witness
- 3 statement, Mr Handjani?
- 4 A. I don't believe so. I was discussing the first time
- 5 this claim came to mind. These events, counsel,
- 6 happened five years ago.
- 7 Q. Your evidence I think now, your evidence now,
- 8 Mr Handjani, seems to be that after Mr Azima made the
- 9 claim for \$8 million on 21 March, he adjusted his claim
- between then and 4 April. Is that right, Mr Handjani?
- $11\,$ A. I think the word "claim" is misleading. It's the
- 12 quantum he was owed, he said he was owed. He didn't
 13 tell me the -- all that was involved in him coming t
- tell me the -- all that was involved in him coming up
 with that quantum, but what he did tell me subsequently
- that in a commercial settlement he would take less, and
- 16 I simply relayed that back to the Ruler.
- 17 Q. You see, Mr Handjani, doesn't the fact that in this
- email, the references to no longer asking for the
- 19 \$8 million, "the \$8 million" suggests a reference to
- 20 a particular claim, doesn't it? "The", not -- if it was
- he is no longer claiming \$8 million but he's asking for
- 5 or 4, it's odd to put "the \$8 million", isn't it? Do
- you see what I mean?
- 24 A. I'm sorry, I do not. Could you please elucidate that

24

25 for me?

- $1\,$ Q. Can you tell his Lordship what you think Mr Azima and
- 2 you did discuss about the revision of the
- 3 \$8 million figure?
- $4\,$ A. Your Lord, I can't recall . The first phone call , it was
- 5 the Persian New Year, I was with my family, I came back
- 6 to the Emirates a few days or a week later, and we had
- 7 a subsequent call, and because I called him and I said,
- 8 "I'm back and I'm going to relay your message"; and
- 9 I think in that phone call I said, you know, "So you're
- owed 8 million", or words to that effect, and he said,
- you know, something to the effect of, "But, you know,
- that's what I'm owed, but I would take less", and,
- 13 you know, "I'd like you to relay that to the Ruler and
- 14 I'd like you to help me make" -- you know, "to get my
- money back", that he thought he was owed.
- 16 Q. You see, Mr Handjani, by this date -- say March
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{or April 2015 -- nobody working for RAKIA -- including} \\$
- you -- had any basis to think or allege that Mr Azima
- was making fraudulent claims.
- $20\,$ A. Absolutely not. I did not think his claim was
- fraudulent when he called me.
- 22 Q. So you'd have no basis to tell the Ruler that as far as
- you were concerned, Mr Azima's claims were unwarranted,
- 24 would you?
- 25 A. And I had not, and I have not at the time.

- 1 Q. Did you tell the Ruler between 21 March and 4 April that
- 2 Mr Azima's claim, however made and in whatever quantum,
- 3 was unwarranted?
- 4 A. No.
- $5\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did you discuss the matter of Mr Azima's claim, however
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{6}}$ described and in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{whatever}}$ quantum, with the Ruler over
- 7 that two-week period, Mr Handjani?
- 8 A. I believe I did, my Lord.
- $9\,$ Q. And it's likely , isn't it , Mr Handjani, therefore that
- 10 you would have given the Ruler no cause to think that
- 11 Mr Azima was making an unwarranted claim at that stage
- 12 upon RAK or RAKIA or the Ruler?
- 13 A. That's a fair statement, my Lord.
- Q. So can you explain to his Lordship whether you continue
- to give evidence that you think that one of the
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{motivations for the Ruler's instruction to go after} \\$
- Mr Azima in April 2015 was the Ruler's belief that
- 18 Mr Azima was making unwarranted claims for compensation?
- 19 A. My Lord, the Ruler's belief is the Ruler's belief. He
- has many other sources to inform his beliefs, but for
- me, I was simply an inbox and then an outbox relaying
- a message; and in fact at the time I do recall that
- Mr Azima, when I called him and told him I was in the
- Emirates and I was going to be passing along his
- 25 message, and it was -- that he was owed \$8 million, he

- $1 \qquad \quad \text{never described to } \text{me all } \text{the things } \text{that } \text{came into } \text{that}$
- $2\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ quantum. He said to $\,$ me but he would be -- $\,$ he would take
- $3\,$ less than that to settle this matter, and I simply
- 4 relayed that to the Ruler.
- 5 These matters occurred five years ago, my Lord.
 - I can't recall every little bit of the conversation that
- 7 I had, but I do recall that those were the conversations
- 8 that took place, and that's what I relayed.
- 9 Q. You see, Mr Handjani, I think you see the point now.
- 10 A. I don't see the point, no.
- 11 Q. Oh well, I'll have to --
- 12 A. Please.

6

14

- 13 Q. -- go through it again with you, Mr Handjani. I think
 - we've established now on oath, the evidence on oath,
- that the right analysis is that whatever Mr Azima may
- have discussed with you on 21 March 2015 in relation to
- any claim of his, that you had no basis to think it was
- 18 unwarranted or fraudulent, did you?
- 19 A. I had none.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And that you discussed that matter with the Ruler, you
- 21 think, in the next couple of weeks?
- 22 A. I believe that's correct, my Lord, yes.
- 23 Q. And that you would have no cause to lead the Ruler to
- 24 think that Mr Azima was making any unwarranted, still
- less fraudulent claim upon the Ruler?

27

- 1 A. No, that is correct, my Lord.
- Q. So unless someone else has caused the Ruler to think
- 3 that, or the Ruler has his own basis for thinking that,
- 4 nothing that you have put to the Ruler could have made
- 5 that one of the Ruler's thoughts at the time?
- 6 A. My Lord --
- 7 Q. From your point of view.
- 8 A. From my point of view, I gave the Ruler no cause for
- 9 concern.
- 10 Q. No. So when the Ruler --
- 11 A. Although I should be more complete with that answer,
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{counsel.} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{I did inform the Ruler what Mr Azima said to} \\$
- 13 me, that if these matters were not resolved in the
- appropriate fashion, you know, it would be not good for
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \text{the Emirates and not good for the Ruler.} \\$
- $16\,$ Q. I'm going to come back to that, Mr Azima. Are you
- 17 sure --
- 18 A. Mr Handjani.
- 19 Q. Sorry, Mr Handjani. Are you sure you want to confirm
- that evidence, Mr Handjani, because I'm going to ask you
- 21 about it in a minute?
- $22\,$ A. Yes, I do confirm that because that is something he said
- to me a number of times and throughout 2015 to 2016. In
 fact, my Lord, that was the basis for me not wanting to
- be the postman, if you will, my Lord, in between this,

Day 8

1 and actually advocating for a commercial settlement with 2 Mr Azima because I didn't want both sides to be 3 adversarial with each other. 4 And my emails would speak to that, my Lord. 5 Q. So what's your -- these emails in early April 2015 catch 6 you discussing with Mr Bustami and Mr Buchanan 7 the Ruler's apparent instruction to target Mr Azima, so 8 if as far as you were concerned that couldn't be 9 explained on the basis of Mr Azima making baseless 10 claims for compensation, what do you think you 11 understood at that time to have been the Ruler's 12 motivation for instructing the targeting of Mr Azima? 13 A. My Lord, I've given testimony to this yesterday, and 14 I'll be happy to repeat it. 15 Q. What's the answer, Mr Handjani? 16 A. The answer is that because at the time Dr Massaad's 17 frauds were coming to light -- alleged frauds coming to

18 light, my Lord, and the fact that Mr Azima's essentially 19 joint venture partner in the Emirates were entities 20 controlled by Dr Massaad, he came through Dr Massaad, 21 it's my understanding, to meet His Highness. 22 His Highness was very sceptical of Mr Azima's claim.

Lord, that Mr Bustami and Mr Buchanan were investigating the alleged frauds of Dr Massaad; I was not. So

Now, I also would like to elucidate to counsel, my

- 1 I wouldn't know the information that they knew at the 2 time, and I had no basis of knowing that information,
- 3

23

24

25

- 4 Q. Could you go, please, to {Day7/203:1}, Mr Handjani, to 5 which you've taken you to this morning I think already?
- 6 A. Yes, my Lord.
- 7 Q. Where you use the word "blitzkrieg", can you see that?
- 8 A. I do, my Lord.
- 9 Q. And what you say for the transcript at page 10 {Day7/203:6-14}, you give evidence that Mr Azima I think
- 11 said to you that if the matter wasn't settled, there
- 12 would be a blitzkrieg campaign against Ras Al Khaimah,
- 13 can you see that?
- A. I can, my Lord.
- 15 Q. And I think you're giving evidence here, aren't you,
- 16 Mr Handjani, about events happening as you claim in
- 17 around about April, March/April 2015?
- 18 A. That is correct, my Lord., that is my recollection.
- 19 Q. Do you think your recollection might be faulty,
- 20 Mr Handjani?
- 21 A. As anyone who is remembering things of five years ago,
- 22 was five years older, would be, but --
- 23 Q. Do you think maybe you've got the date wrong when there
- 24 was first any reference to any sort of blitzkrieg or
- 25 offensive concerning Mr Azima or at Mr Azima's behest?

30

- A. I don't think so, my Lord.
- 2 Q. You don't think maybe that was in 2016 rather than 2015?
- 3 A. I don't believe so, my Lord, but I cannot recall,
- 4 you know, with 100% accuracy, my Lord. These are
- 5 matters that occurred four and five years ago, my Lord.
- 6 Q. When you gave your witness statement in September 2019 7 where you gave evidence on these matters, did you see
- 8 any document which prompted your recollection of
- 9 Mr Azima allegedly threatening some sort of blitzkrieg
- 10 against RAK around about March or April 2015?
- 11 Absolutely not, my Lord.
- 12 Did you have any private note or diary entry that would
- 13 have confirmed that piece of evidence of yours?
- 14 A. I do not, my Lord. I don't keep a diary, my Lord.
- 15 Q. No. So by my calculation, your witness statement was
- 16 given some four and a half years after the relevant
- 17 time, wasn't it?
- 18 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 19 Q. And was that the first time that you'd been asked to
- 20 give evidence about what you may have experienced in
- 21 relation to the matters in dispute back in March
- 22 and April 2015?
- 23 Yes, because I'm giving evidence -- these are the
- 24 proceedings. I don't believe there are any other
- 25 proceedings I am involved with, so, yes.

- 1 Q. So you hadn't been asked to turn your mind
- 2 to March/April 2015 in relation to Mr Azima
- 3 before September 2019 when you gave your witness
- 4 statement; is that right?
- 5 A. I think we -- my Lord, around about this time, I had
- 6 discussions with Mr Buchanan, Mr Bustami and
- 7 His Highness.
- 8 Q. Which time are you talking about?
- 9 A. March and April 2015, counsel.
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. And those -- because my discussions with Mr Azima went
- 12 through March 2015, my Lord, until -- through 2016,
- 13 I was sort of peripherally involved in this matter,
- 14 these discussions came up.
- 15 I had multiple discussions with Mr Azima
- 16 from March 2015 through -- it may even have been the
- 17 fall of 2016 or the December of 2016 when things started
- 18 deteriorating between RAK and Mr Azima. He would
- 19 mention this campaign to me that would get very --
- 20 you know, very bad for everyone involved, and that is my
- 21 recollection, my Lord.
- 22 Q. I'm sure it's my fault, Mr Handjani, but the question
- 23 I was asking you was whether you'd had course to
- 24 recollect matters in March and April 2015 before you

32

25 came to give your witness statement around about the

- 1 autumn of last year?
- $2\,$ $\,$ A. No. I would say, my Lord, after 2016 I have not been
- 3 asked to recall these matters.
- 4 Q. And what was the basis for your putting in your witness
- 5 statement that you thought Mr Azima had threatened some
 - sort of campaign against RAK around March or April 2015?
- What is your basis for saying that, Mr Handjani?
- 8 A. My direct conversation with Mr Azima.
- $9\,$ $\,$ Q. Which you recollected, did you, some four and a half
- 10 years later?
- 11 A. Correct. It's not the type of thing someone would
- 12 forget.

- $13\,$ Q. Did you -- something like that would presumably be
- a concern. If you'd heard Mr Azima threatening some
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \text{sort of blitzkrieg against RAK, that would have been} \\$
- a matter of concern to you, wouldn't it, Mr Handjani?
- 17 A. It would, my Lord.
- $18\,$ Q. And you are likely , aren't you, to have relayed that to
- other people who might have an equal concern on the RAK
- or RAKIA side of things?
- 21 A. That is correct, my Lord. And I did.
- 22 Q. And who did you relay it to?
- 23 A. I relayed it to the Ruler who at the -- around about
- 24 this time, I first met Mr Buchanan. He had me meet
- 25 Mr Buchanan. Mr Bustami. And later I met, maybe a few
 - 33
 - $1 \qquad \text{months later, } \text{Mr Gerrard}.$
 - $2\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And do you think that this threatened campaign by
 - 3 Mr Azima, that was relayed to you in the March 2015
- 4 telephone call? I think that's your evidence, isn't it?
- 5 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat, counsel?
- 6 Q. I think your evidence is that you first learned of
- 7 Mr Azima's threat to launch some sort of blitzkrieg, if
- 8 things didn't work out on the money side, in
- 9 the March 2015 telephone conversation with you,
- 10 Mr Handjani. That's right, isn't it?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. That's your evidence?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- $14\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And you've agreed, I think, only a moment ago that if
- you'd heard that from Mr Azima, that would have been
- a source of real concern to you, wouldn't it?
- 17 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 18 Q. And it's right, isn't it, Mr Handjani, that you would
- have thought that that was a basis for the Ruler of
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ $\,$ Ras Al Khaimah to be concerned himself about Mr Azima at
- 21 that time, wouldn't you, Mr Handjani?
- $22\,$ A. I think that's a fair statement, my Lord.
- $23\,$ Q. And that would be potentially a basis, wouldn't it, on
- $24\,$ which the Ruler may want to target Mr Azima around that

34

25 time, wouldn't it, Mr Handjani?

- 1 A. I think that's a fair statement, my Lord.
- Q. And there would be no reason, would there, Mr Handjani,
- $3 \qquad \quad \text{when you were corresponding with people like Mr Bustami} \\$
- 4 and Mr Buchanan around that time, for you to conceal or
- 5 keep quiet about this alleged concern you had about
- 6 a threatened blitzkrieg from Mr Azima?
- 7 A. I relayed the message as it was relayed to me, my Lord.
- 8 Q. What's the answer to my question, Mr Handjani? Would
- 9 there be any reason for you to -- for you not to share?
- 10 A. No, there would be no reason, my Lord.
- 11 Q. And actually every reason for you to convey that concern
- to Mr Buchanan and Mr Bustami. Do you agree,
- 13 Mr Handjani?
- 14 A. I would agree with that, my Lord.
- 15 Q. Shall we look at the April emails again in the light of
- that evidence, Mr Handjani. Would you like to go back
- 17 to {H7/267/1}, please.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And we'll take it slowly now, because now we know that
- 20 by April 2015, on your evidence on oath to his Lordship
- 21 yesterday --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- and confirmed again today --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- you have a recollection of Mr Azima threatening

35

- a blitzkrieg against RAK around about March 2015.
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. So let's, with that sort of in our -- ringing in our
- $4\,$ ears, can we please look at what you did say at the
- 5 time.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. At {H7/267/1}, Mr Buchanan emailed you:
- 8 "Good afternoon. HHSS had wanted us to target FA --
- 9 on what basis would we do this? Thanks.'
- 10 "I'm not sure that's possible at the moment.
- I don't know what basis you would target him.
- 12 Thoughts?"
- 13 A. Yes.

24

- 14 Q. Can you see that?
- 15 A. I can, my Lord.
- 16 Q. So should his Lordship understand it that -- why did you
- not -- in the context of these exchanges that we see you
- have, why did you not at least advert to this alleged
- threat of a blitzkrieg?
- 20 A. My Lord, I'm a very anodyne emailer. I don't like to
- 21 spend a lot of time writing long emails. Mr Buchanan
- used the word "target". I'm a former prosecutor. The

basis to target Mr Azima for any sort of criminal

36

- word "target" has a specific meaning to me. I saw no
- action. It didn't make any sense to me, what he was

20 detton. It didn't make any souse to me, what he

- saying, and in fact Mr Azima had relayed to me that he was wanting to settle his claim commercially and to prove his claim commercially.
- I saw no reason; that's why I answered the way I did to Mr Buchanan.
- Q. You see, Mr Handjani, I suggest to you that even if what
 you'd understood from Mr Azima, what you claim Mr Azima
- said to you, did not qualify for any sort of prosecution of Mr Azima, I do put it to you, Mr Handjani, that you
- would have raised that matter in the course of these
- $11 \hspace{1cm} \text{email exchanges with Mr Buchanan and Mr Bustami so at} \\$
- 12 least they were fully apprised of the Azima position as
- 13 at this time.
- 14 A. Not necessarily, my Lord. I wouldn't raise every matter
- in my mind on an email. I had met with Mr Bustami and
- Mr Buchanan, and I'd orally conveyed my concerns and my
- thoughts. It doesn't necessarily mean that on every
- email I would convey every thought and every concern.

 O. And I suggest to you, Mr Handjani, that you have
- invented the suggestion that Mr Azima made some threatsof a blitzkrieg against RAKIA in 2015.
- 22 A. I think, my Lord, counsel has run out of things to say
- to me and is therefore accusing me of perjuring myself
- which I find offensive. I'm telling you under oath, my
- 25 Lord, as an officer of the court everything that

- 1 I recall of that time.
- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ His Lordship has your evidence, Mr Handjani. Can you
- 3 go, please, to $\{D/9/31\}$ and to paragraph 87 of
- 4 Mr Buchanan's first witness statement. Can you see
- 5 that, Mr Handjani?
- 6 A. I can, my Lord.
- 7 Q. And I think you said yesterday that you had read some
- 8 other witness statements before trial; is that right?
- 9 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 10 Q. Had you read Mr Buchanan's first witness statement?
- $11\,$ A. I cannot recall . I read -- he's given multiple witness
- statements, so I read maybe one or two of them.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. What, the short ones but not the main one, is that
- 14 likely?
- 15 A. Probably, probably.
- 16 Q. Probably?
- 17 A. Probably.
- 18 Q. What, not the main one?
- 19 A. Not in the last ten days, two weeks.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. What about the last $\,$ month or two months?
- 21 A. Maybe I have, yes.
- $22\,$ Q. Good. It's likely , isn't it?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 Q. Yes, it is. So in paragraph 87, you can see that

38

25 Mr Buchanan's evidence is that it was after

- a January 2016 lunch with Mr Azima that he first -- that
- $2 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{the concern about some campaign and blitzkrieg emerged}. \\$
- 3 Have you seen that?
- 4 A. I can. I can't speak to Mr Buchanan's testimony,
- 5 my Lord.
- 6 Q. And would it be fair to say that Mr Buchanan was more
- 7 closely involved with these matters for RAKIA back in
- 8 2015 than you, Mr Handjani?
- 9 A. That is a fair statement, my Lord.
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr Handjani. Now, can I ask you, please,
- finally about the discovery by RAKIA of the hacked data,
- if I may, please, Mr Handjani.
- 13 A. Please.
- 14 Q. Mr Buchanan gave evidence that he -- that you and
- Mr Azima were -- sorry, that Mr Buchanan and Mr Azima
- were on friendly terms before the discovery, I think, of
- 17 the hacked data?
- 18 A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch your last word. On what
- 19 terms?
- 20 Q. Mr Buchanan gave evidence that he, Mr Buchanan and
- 21 Mr Azima, were on good terms --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- until the emergence of the hacked data which caused
- 24 Mr Buchanan to recalibrate his view of Mr Azima?
- 25 A. That is my recollection, my Lord, yes.

39

- 1 Q. And that's your understanding?
- 2 A. Yes, it is, my Lord.
- 3 Q. And I think you said yesterday that you were also on
- 4 friendly terms with Mr Azima until -- at least until the
- 5 emergence of the hacked data and I think you said
- 6 thereafter?
- 7 A. We've never been on unfriendly terms, my Lord.
- 8 Q. And it's fair to say, isn't it, that you found Mr Azima
- 9 quite an engaging person?
- 10 A. He is a very charming person, he's always been very
- polite to me, my Lord.
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. And I to him.
- 14~ Q. And you quite liked him, didn't you, Mr Handjani?
- 15 A. I -- well, yes, there was no reason not to like him.
- He's never been anything but respectful and -- to me and
- 17 I've never been anything but respectful to him.
- 18 Q. And at least --
- 19 A. And that's been borne out by my email exchanges. I was
- trying to make sure that they would have a commercial
- 21 settlement so that both parties would walk away
- 22 satisfied.
- 23 Q. Yes, I think your evidence is that the Ruler had asked

- you to keep open the channel of communication with
- 25 Mr Azima, hadn't he?

- 1 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And the basis for that, as you understood it, was that
- 3 you actually had quite a good relationship with
- 4 Mr Azima?
- 5 A. Yes, we had a friendly relationship, my Lord.
- 6 Q. Could you go, please, to {H10/262}.
- 7 A. Yes, I have it.
- 8 Q. Thank you Mr Handjani. You'll see there that's an email
- 9 of 16 August 2016 from Mr Buchanan to Mr Frank of
- 10 Karv Communications and to you, Mr Handjani?
- 11 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 12 Q. Thank you, copied to Mr Neil Gerrard, can you see that?
- 13 A. Yes, yes, I can, my Lord.
 - 4 Q. You deal with the discovery of Mr Azima's stolen data in
- your witness statement at paragraph 23 at $\{D/15/6\}$,
- 16 which -- I wonder if that could be brought up on the
- 17 screen.
- 18 A. Yes. The last paragraph of my September 5 witness
- 19 statement
- 20 Q. That's it, Mr Handjani. Can you see?
- 21 A. I can, my Lord.
- 22 Q. And you say -- in the last sort of six or seven lines,
- you say that it's nonsense to suggest that the targeting
- $24\,$ or going after emails were reference to a plan to hack
- 25 his emails, you say that's nonsense. Then you say this

- 1 in the last five lines:
- 2 "No plan to hack Mr Azima's emails or data was ever
- 3 mentioned to me by anyone in RAK, or anywhere else, and
- 4 I was never party to any such plan. The first time that
- $\,\,\,$ $\,\,$ $\,\,$ I $\,$ became aware that there was data $\,$ relating $\,$ to $\,$ Mr Azima $\,$
- 6 available on the internet was when I received an email
- 7 from Mr Buchanan -- addressed to me and Mr Frank -- on
- 8 16 August 2016 ..."
- 9 Can you see that?
- $10 \quad \text{A.} \quad \text{That is } \text{my testimony under oath, } \text{my Lord}.$
- $11\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Right. So that's your testimony on oath and we can put
- 12 that away. Now we can look, if we may, at the email at
- 13 {H10/262/1} with that testimony in mind.
- 14 A. I have it, counsel.
- $15 \quad \text{ Q. } \quad \text{Thank you, Mr Handjani. You see what Mr Buchanan told}$
- 16 you on 16 August 2016.
- 17 A. That is correct, my Lord.
- 18 Q. He said:
- 19 "Subject: FA".
- 20 All right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, by this point, on your evidence, you were on
- friendly or good terms with Mr Azima, weren't you?
- $24\,$ A. Yes. I recall in the summer of 2016, my Lord, some
- article came out about me in one of these rags, if you

42

- will, and somewhere around this time Mr Azima called me
- 2 and informed me of it . It was maybe a rag called
- 3 Intelligence Online, or something -- a publication that
- 4 I'd never seen before. And after that, I sort of
- 5 withdrew from discussions with Mr Azima because I could 6 see that things were getting a little bit more, shall w
- 6 see that things were getting a little bit more, shall we 7 say complex, counsel, with him, and I didn't want to,
- 8 you know, have much communication.
- 9 Q. Is that the very first time, Mr Handjani, just now, that
- you've given any evidence that you were withdrawing from
- your dealings with Mr Azima around this time?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. Is that the first time?
- 14 A. Yes
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And is that $\,$ because you know I'm going to ask you about
- 16 this email:
- 17 A. No, I'm happy to discuss this email with you, counsel.
- 18 Q. Well, I suggest, Mr Handjani, that that is why you've
- $19\,$ given the evidence that you've just given because you're
- 20 trying to prepare for the questions that you know are
- coming in relation to this email.
- 22 A. I have no idea what you're going to ask me, counsel, but
- I would be happy to answer them.
- 24 Q. Very well. Coming back to the email, please,
- 25 Mr Handjani, of 16 August {H10/262/1}:

43

- 1 "Subject: FA".
- 2 A. Yes. I read the email.
- $3\,$ $\,$ Q. From that last answer, can his Lordship take it , then,
- $4 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{that you sensed -- that you were getting a bit more} \\$
- 5 uneasy about Mr Azima prior to the -- prior to August
- 6 2016, there had been a sort of slight change, had there,
- 7 in your perception of -- just wait for the question,
- 8 please, Mr Handjani. Had there been a change in your
- 9 perception of Mr Azima by the beginning of August
- 10 2015 -- 2016?
- $11\,$ A. I would -- my Lordship, I was more cautious in my
- dealings with Mr Azima because, as it was relayed to me,
- 13 the ceasefires -- there were a number of ceasefires that
- had taken place that had been -- those deadlines had
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{passed.} \hspace{0.2cm} \hbox{Also I started seeing my name in the press} \\$
- appear in things that were not -- that were unrelated to
- me, and he would tell me about those things.

 One publication I recall in exactly arou
- One publication I recall in exactly around this time, or maybe a month or two before, we could dig that
- up if you'd like, counsel, I'd be happy to dig that up,
- 21 the publication, and I got a very strong sense that that
- blitzkrieg campaign he was talking about was taking
- 23 place
- 24 Q. And -- but why didn't you think that Mr Azima was just
- 25 tipping you off to something that he thought you ought

- 1 to be aware of?
- 2 A. Well, counsel, Mr Azima deals in a world that is
- 3 unfamiliar to me. It is the intelligence world and the
- 4 world of security services, he's done it for some time.
- 5 I make no judgments about that world, but this
- 6 publication was called Intelligence Online, so, although
- 7 I'm not of very high intelligence, I put two and two
- 8 together and thought: you know what, it's best that
- 9 I withdraw.

- $10\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ By the end of July 2016, RAKIA itself $\,$ had launched its
- own offensive against Dr Massaad, hadn't it?
- 12 A. Date again, counsel?
- 13 Q. By the end of July 2016, RAKIA had either launched or
 - was about to launch its own online campaign against
- 15 Dr Massaad, wasn't it?
- $16\,$ A. I'm not sure if that's correct. If you say so. I have
- 17 no knowledge of that.
- $18\,$ Q. So his Lordship can take it that you had no knowledge of
- 19 RAKIA's -- of the launching by RAKIA of its campaign or
- 20 its online work around about -- just wait for the
- 21 question -- around about the end of July and going
- 22 into August 2016. Is that your evidence, Mr Handjani?
- 23 A. My Lord, I can't recall what date that was. I'll take
- at face value what counsel says. I can't recall the
- 25 date.

45

- 1 Q. That wasn't quite the question, Mr Handjani. We know in
- 2 this case that on 31 July 2016, there's evidence that
- 3 Digitalis were asked to action certain -- a couple of
- 4 websites in order to begin some sort of campaign against
- 5 Dr Massaad on behalf of RAK or RAKIA, all right?
- 6 A. Yes, my Lord.
- 7 Q. And that those websites were then, I think, actioned and
- 8 put into effect at some point shortly thereafter, and
- 9 they were up for about a month or so, all right?
- 10 A. Correct, my Lord.
- 11 Q. And that's the evidence?
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. And if that's the date that the evidence stacks up, then
- 13 I accept that, counsel.
- $14\,$ $\,$ Q. So let's assume that by the $\,$ first $\,$ week, or within the
- first week of August 2016, RAK or RAKIA is in fact in
- the process of launching this online offensive against
- Dr Massaad, all right? Assume that. Have you got that,
- 18 Mr Handjani?
- $19\,$ A. We're dealing in hypotheticals, so, yes, I do.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. Well, no, the evidence is that that was what was
- 21 happening, all right?
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Is your evidence that you were aware at the time that
- 24 that was RAK -- that that was what RAK or RAKIA was

46

25 doing?

- $1\,$ $\,$ A. My Lordship, I can't recall when I became aware of it,
- 2 if it was 2016 or 2017. I just can't recall.
- 3 Q. I'm asking you now, Mr Handjani, of when you first
- 4 became aware that RAK or RAKIA -- ie if you like the
- 5 other side from Dr Massaad -- that they were in fact
- 6 initiating some sort of online offensive. When did you
- 7 first become aware of that, Mr Handjani?
- 8 A. And, counsel, it's my testimony that I really can't
- 9 recall, my Lordship. It could have been some time in
- 2016, it could have been in 2016, I really can't recall.
- $11\,$ $\,$ $\,$ I $\,$ was not a key member of the RAKIA team launching that
- 12 offensive, my Lord.
- 13-Q. $\;$ If $\;$ you go to $\;$ {H10/262/1}, you can see that Mr Buchanan
- said this:
- ${\tt "Good morning. \ I \ have been informed by Stuart \ last}$
- 16 night that there is an internet site that is carrying
- 17 a huge amount of material relating to FA -- I will get
- you the link later ."

Do you see that?

- 20 A. I can, my Lord.
- 21 Q. And so it runs on. Now, Mr Handjani, your evidence,
 - I think you gave yesterday on a couple of occasions, was
- that you didn't know who Stuart Page was until this
- 24 trial.

19

22

25 A. That is correct, my Lord.

47

- 1 Q. Mr Buchanan is writing, isn't he -- I know it's
- 2 Mr Buchanan's words --
- 3 A. Yes, my Lord.
- 4 Q. -- but he's writing, isn't he, by reference to Mr Page
- 5 in a way that looks as if he thinks that his audience
- 6 will know whom he's talking about. Do you agree with
- 7 that?
- 8 A. I do not, my Lord.
- 9 Q. Because he says, "I have been informed by Stuart", can
- 10 you see that?
- 11 A. Oh I can, my Lord.
- $12\,$ Q. So he just uses the first name of Mr Page, doesn't he?
- 13 A. I think your analysis is incorrect, counsel. When
- I read "Stuart", I thought of Stuart Leach at Digitalis,
- not Stuart Page. I didn't know who Stuart Page was.
- 16 Q. You thought it was a reference to Stuart Leach, did you?
- 17 A. I did, my Lord. Digitalis.
- 18 Q. Mr Buchanan is writing this email, isn't he?
- 19 A. Yes, he is.
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ And I know you're not Mr Buchanan, I'm not going to $\,$ ask
- 21 you what Mr Buchanan was thinking, but I just want you
- to finish this line. We know that Mr Buchanan himself
- was referring to Stuart Page, not Stuart Leach, all
- 24 right?
- 25 A. We know that now. I didn't know that then, my Lord.

1 Q. No.

- 2 A. And I knew Stuart Leach was working for Digitalis,
- 3 my Lord. And so when I saw "Stuart", muscle memory was
- 4 Stuart Leach, not Stuart Page. I never met Stuart Page,
- 5 so I wouldn't know that he would be referring to someone
- 6 that I'd never met.
- 7 Q. No. But can you see that looking at it sort of
- 8 objectively for a minute, looking objectively,
- 9 Mr Buchanan has only referred to Mr Page by his first
- 10 name in this email, hasn't he?
- 11 A. My Lord, my testimony is when I saw this email,
- 12 I thought it was Stuart Leach. I don't want to deal in
- 13 counsel's hypotheticals. I don't know what Mr Buchanan
- 14 was referring to at the time. So I'd like to stick with
- 15 my own testimony. Not what was in Mr Buchanan's mind.
- 16 Q. You see, I suggest to you -- well, we'll go on to what
- 17 this said. You see that Mr Buchanan is here informing
- 18 you and Mr Frank of something which, on the face of it,
- 19 might be potentially significant news. Do you agree?
- 20 A. My Lord, until this email was put to me, I had no
- 21 recollection of it, I didn't become aware -- this was 22 four years ago now, or four and a half years ago now.
- 23 Significant? Maybe. I can't recall what I thought of
- 24 it at the time. It was the summer of 2016. I was on
- 25 holiday at that time, in Bermuda, I believe. I didn't
 - 49
 - 1 think much of this.
 - 2. Q. There's no evidence, Mr Handjani, of Mr Buchanan's
 - 3 sending emails out to you on any other occasion that
 - Δ we've seen to alert you to some possible internet
- 5 information or information of any sort, really, about
- 6 Mr Azima except this email of 16 August 2016.
- 7 A. That's correct, my Lord.
- 8 Q. So his Lordship can take it, can't he, that this is the
- 9 first and only time that you received an email from
- 10 Mr Buchanan conveying -- allegedly conveying this sort
- 11 of information?
- 12 A. I believe so, my Lord, yes.
- 13 Q. When you got this email, tell his Lordship how you
- 14 received it, what you thought?
- 15 A. I -- my Lord, I was on holiday when I got this email.
- 16 Q. You could still think, couldn't you, on holiday?
- 17 A. I could, I can't remember what I was thinking on
- 18 holiday, counsel, four years ago, when I received this
- 19 email. I really can't. I don't think I responded to
- 20 it. In fact I'm sure I didn't. I didn't know what he
- 21 was talking about. I was enjoying my holiday.
- 22 Q. You see, Mr Handjani, we've seen that when Mr Buchanan
- 23 emails you in April 2015, you respond to him quite
- 2.4 promptly, don't you?
- 25 A. Yes -- I don't remember the timeline, but, yes, I think

50

- 1 within a day or two.
- 2 Q. And in July 2015, when we see Mr Buchanan emailing you
- 3 again, you also reply quite promptly, don't you,
- 4 Mr Handjani?
- 5 At times I reply promptly, my Lord, at times I don't.
- 6 Q. And on the face of it, I suggest, Mr Handjani, if this
- 7 was the first time that you had been made aware that
- 8 there was some potentially significant data relating to
- 9 Mr Azima, important enough for Mr Buchanan for the first
- 10
- time to apprise you of those matters and to go into this 11 sort of detail about it, you would have been likely to
- 12 respond to his email.
- 13 A. My Lord, I'm very careful how I respond to emails, and,
- 14 no, I would not respond necessarily to every email
- 15 Mr Buchanan wrote, or every email that others write to
- 16 me. I had nothing to say on this email. It didn't
- 17 involve me and doesn't concern me.
- 18 Q. You see, Mr Handjani, I suggest that if you had not
- 19 already by 16 August 2016 learnt of this data of
- 20 Mr Azima's on the internet, you would have responded,
- 21 even shortly, to Mr Buchanan at the time.
- 22 A. Well, that is incorrect, my Lord. In this email he
- 23 says, "We will speak later". My recollection was we did
- 24 speak later.
- 25 So although you're on holiday, you spoke to him, did

51

- 1 you -- you interrupted your holiday to speak about this?
- 2 A. I do recall Mr Buchanan calling me and telling me about
- 3 this matter. He told -- I said, "Well, I'm on holiday",
- 4 and he said, "When you come back, please let me know".
- 5 Q. So when his Lordship goes back to your witness
- 6 statement, Mr Handjani -- just have it up. Do you
- 7 remember that paragraph 23 we looked at at $\{D/15/6\}$,
- 8 paragraph 23, could you look at it, Mr Handjani?
- 9 A. Yes.

11

15

- 10 Q. Do you see any account by you there of some telephone
 - call you had with Mr Buchanan in relation to this email?

a plan to hack Mr Azima's emails, and the answer to that

- 12 No, that is not what this witness statement's about.
- 13 This witness statement is about hacking, and if I had
- 14 any knowledge of who hacked Mr Azima, or if there was
- 16 is absolutely not. It doesn't refer to me finding out
- 17 from Mr Buchanan about -- information about Mr Azima on
- 18 the internet. That's not what my statement was about.
- 19 Q. So what did Mr Buchanan tell you in this telephone call 20 which --
- 21 A. I --
- 22 Q. Sorry, Mr Handjani, I really must put the question for
- 23 the transcript, for the potential record, with which you
- 24 will be very familiar; if you don't mind my finishing
- 25 the question, and then it will be there for the record.

8

- 1 A. Not at all, counsel. Please.
- $2\,$ Q. That's very kind. I'll just go back because I'm afraid
- 3 I've lost my train of thought thanks to that
- 4 interruption. (Pause)
- 5 Yes, when you allegedly had this phone call with
- 6 Mr Buchanan, did he call you or did you call him?
- 7 A. I believe he called me, my Lord. I believe. I can't --
- 8 four years ago, I can't recall who called who, but I do
- 9 remember having that phone call with him.
- 10 Q. Do you? And it was important enough, was it, this piece
- of information, for Mr Buchanan to interrupt your
- 12 holiday, was it, Mr Handjani?
- 13 A. I believe so, I believe we spoke.
- $14\,$ Q. And what did he tell you, do you think, on this
- 15 telephone call?
- 16 A. He told me that there was data of Mr Azima that had
- appeared online and that he -- I can't recall exactly
- what he said but that there were going to be people
- $19 \hspace{1cm} \text{brought in \ to \ look \ at \ it} \text{ , \ and he \ wanted to \ make me aware}$
- 20 of it. And--
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Why? Why would he want to make you aware of it, do you
- $22\,$ think, or why did he lead you to believe that it was
- $23 \hspace{1cm} important \ enough \ for \ you \ to \ know \ about \ it, \ Mr \ Handjani?$
- $24\,$ $\,$ A. You'd have to ask him that, counsel, but I think because
- 25 I had been involved in the matter with Mr Azima since
 - 53
 - $1\,$ the spring of 2015, and he knew that Mr Azima was
 - 2 someone that was known to me, and I think that's why.
 - 3 But you'd have to ask him.
 - 4 Q. But on your evidence, on your evidence, you've today
- 5 said you withdrew a bit from Mr Azima at this time, so
- 6 you now claim.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you're not sure when you learnt of the RAKIA
- 9 campaign against Mr Azima. So I'm just wondering, why
- 10 in that context did you think at the time that
- 11 Mr Buchanan was calling you on holiday with this piece
- 12 of news?
- 13 A. My Lord, you'd have to ask Mr Buchanan that.
- $14\,$ Q. You see, I suggest to you -- well, can you see in the
- 15 second line {H10/262}:
- "... I will get you the link later."
- 17 A. Yes, I have looked at --
- $18\,$ Q. Sorry, Mr Handjani, can I ask the question? The first
- $19 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{thing is } I \hspace{0.1cm} \text{want to point you to that, but then there} \\$
- $20\,$ will be a question. I know you've got an answer that
- 21 you've prepared, but it would work better if I show you
- the bit of the email, and then ask my question, if
- that's all right? Even if you can foresee and you know

54

- that this is the time to give the answer you want to
- give. Would that be all right?

- 1 A. Please proceed.
- $2\,$ Q. "I will get you the link later", can you see that,
- 3 Mr Handjani?
- 4 A. I can, my Lord.
- 5 Q. What did you understand Mr Buchanan was offering to get
 - you when you got this email, Mr Handjani?
- 7 A. My Lord, I did not receive any link from Mr Buchanan.
 - I have never clicked on any link. He never came back to
- 9 me. We spoke, I told him I was on holiday, he told me
- what had been discovered and they were going to analyse
- it, and that was that, no link was provided to me, and
- 12 I moved on enjoying my holiday.
- 13 Q. Did you not pursue, did you not follow up on the fact
- that you hadn't been supplied with the link?
- 15 A. No, no, my Lord.
- 16 Q. Really?
- 17 A. I wouldn't care enough. It didn't concern me where
- Mr Azima's information came up. I was on holiday.
- $19\,$ $\,$ Q. You see, Mr Handjani, I suggest that you've made up this
- account of a telephone call with Mr Buchanan on that
- 21 date.
- 22 A. My Lord, that's incorrect. Counsel has run out of
- 23 things to ask me.
- Q. And that the reason you didn't respond to Mr Buchanan's
- email is because by this time, you already knew about

55

- 1 the existence of the online material.
- 2 A. That's a preposterous assertion, my Lord. I deny it.
- 3 It's wrong.
- 4 Q. And I suggest that you also knew by this stage that that
- 5 material that Mr Buchanan is describing there had been
- 6 illegally procured from within Mr --
- 7 A. Absolutely not, my Lord. Absolutely not.
- 8 Q. Sorry, had been illegally -- sorry, I put it to you,
- 9 Mr Handjani, that by this time you knew that this
- 10 material had been illegally procured from within
- $11 \qquad \quad \text{Mr Azima's confidential email archives} \, .$
- 12 A. My Lord, as an officer of the court, I sit here under
- $13\,$ oath, with a penalty of perjury, I had no knowledge of
- Mr Azima's material being hacked, who hacked it or of it
- appearing online.
- 16 Q. And I'm not suggesting, Mr Handjani, at all that you
- played any part in the hacking, to be clear, I'm not
- suggesting that for one moment, but I am suggesting that
- 19 by the time of this email, you did know or suspect that
- 20 persons working for or on behalf of RAK or RAKIA were
- 21 behind the illegal procurement of this internet
- 22 material?
- 23 A. My Lord, that's false, and counsel's -- there's no
- 24 evidence to suggest that I ever knew that RAKIA was

56

pursuing any such campaign on Mr Azima.

Day 8

1 Q. You seem to have had a very successful career in RAK, activity that you'd seen there in 2016. Do you still 2 2 would you agree? have connections with RAK and RAKIA, Mr Handjani? 3 3 A. Depends how you measure success, my Lord. A. None with RAKIA, my Lord, but with Ras Al Khaimah I do, Q. You moved back to the US around the end of 2017, the 4 4 my Lord. 5 start of 2018, that is correct, isn't it? 5 Q. Do you still visit there and have business connections? 6 6 A. That is right, my Lord. A. I was there two months ago, my Lord. I go there 7 7 O. Is that because you were disturbed by what you had seen regularly, my Lord. 8 8 in 2015 and 2016? MR TOMLINSON: Thank you, Mr Handjani. Does your Lordship 9 9 A. No, my Lord. I was getting married, and my wife was an have any questions? 10 American. She wanted to live in the US, in New York, 10 JUDGE LENON: I don't have any questions. Thank you, 11 close to her family. 11 Mr Handjani. 12 Q. And did you have a concern at continuing to be 12 MR TOMLINSON: My Lord, that's the case for the claimant. 13 13 associated with a regime like that of MR LORD: My Lord, I'm going to call Mr Azima. Before I do, 14 my Lord, I have raised with my learned friend, 14 Sheikh Saud bin Sagr Al Qasimi? 15 15 A. That is not the reason I moved back, my Lord. Mr Azima's -- English is his third language, my Lord. 16 16 Q. And that you felt uneasy about what you had seen go on He speaks English reasonably well, but he doesn't read 17 within Ras Al Khaimah and you wanted to move to the US? 17 nor write in English very well at all, and I've said to 18 A. That's absolutely untrue, my Lord. 18 my learned friend -- I've asked him in fairness to 19 19 MR LORD: Thank you Mr Handjani. Mr Azima, to bear that in mind in his questioning and 20 20 MR TOMLINSON: I think your Lordship should take the that certainly if significant documents are going to be 21 transcriber break. I won't be long, but it's five 21 put to Mr Azima or passages thereof, in fairness to 22 22 Mr Azima, it would be much easier for him to understand minutes over. 23 23 A. My Lord, am I still under oath? the matter if that could be read to him rather than his 24 24 JUDGE LENON: You can get down, but you mustn't speak to being asked to read it. Certainly in any significant 25 25 quantity. My learned friend very helpfully agreed that anvone. 57 59 1 1 (11.51 am) he would of course bear that in mind during his 2 2 (A short break) questioning. But I thought I should just apprise 3 3 (11.58 am) your Lordship of that. 4 4 A. My Lord, if I may, in my colloquy with counsel, I said JUDGE LENON: Thank you. 5 there was an Intelligence Online article with my name on 5 MR LORD: Thank you, my Lord. May I please call Mr Azima. 6 it that Mr Azima brought to my attention in the summer 6 MR FARHAD AZIMA (affirmed) 7 7 of 2016. I have found the article. It came up, my Examination-in-chief by MR LORD 8 8 Lord, in June of 2016. MR LORD: Mr Azima, could you please give his Lordship your 9 9 Re-examination by MR TOMLINSON full name and address? 10 10 MR TOMLINSON: Mr Handjani, I want to ask you about two A. Your Lordship, my name is Farhad Azima, that is 11 11 matters. A-Z-I-M-A. 12 The first is it was repeatedly put to you by Mr Lord 12 Q. And your address? 13 that the Ruler had given an instruction to target or go 13 A. 5921 Ward Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri 64113. 14 after Mr Azima in 2015. Did the Ruler ever give you an 14 O. Mr Azima, please could you be shown bundle E and 15 15 instruction to target or go after Mr Azima? divider 3, and $\{E/3/1\}$ should be the first page of 16 16 A. Never, my Lord. a witness statement given by you, can you see that? 17 Q. As far as you're aware, did the Ruler -- did anybody 17 A. Yes, I see that. 18 else that you discussed these matters with say the Ruler 18 Q. And if you could turn, please, Mr Azima, to page 19 19 had given them an instruction to go after or target $\{E/3/36\}$, you'll see that you've signed that statement

20

21

22

23

24

25

24 somehow you'd fled RAK to go to the United States

A. None, my Lord, in person. When we spoke that was never

Q. Thank you. Now, finally, it was suggested to you that

25 because you'd been shocked and appalled by the illegal

60

on 28 August 2019. Can you see that?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ And have you reviewed the contents of that witness

Then, Mr Azima, could you please turn to $\{E/4/1\}$, which

A. Yes, my Lord, I have.

statement recently?

Yes, my Lord, I have.

Mr Azima?

a charge.

20

21

22